UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAP Akteingesellschaf	t,		
		CASE NO. 07-cv-4187-SBA	
	Plaintiff(s),		
v. i2 Technologies, Inc.		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
	Defendant(s).		
Counsel refollowing stipulati	port that they have mon pursuant to Civil I	et and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree t	to participate in the fo	llowing ADR process:	
Ear ✓ Me	n-binding Arbitration ly Neutral Evaluation diation (ADR L.R. 6)	(ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)	
appreciably more ADR phone confe	likely to meet their no crence and may not fil	y settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eeds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an le this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for all Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Private Pr Pri		entify process and provider)	
the	to hold the ADR sesse presumptive deadling ferring the case to an	ion by: e (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
✓ oth	ner requested deadline	February 29, 2008	
Dated: 11/9/07 Dated: 11/9/	· ·	Attorney for Plaintiff Michael J. Sacksteder	
Dated.		Aktorney før Defendant Jason K. Sonoda	

[PROPOSED]	ORD	ER
------------	-----	----

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order. other

ŢŢ	TC	SO	$\triangle D$	n	TTD	TI	
11	1.5	50	1 JK	. 1.3	TSK.	ΓD	

	•
Dated:	

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE